

# Assessment Report

Generated 19 March 2026

D1 Driver

## D1.1: Clear articulation of system objectives

The system shall have clearly articulated objectives that are aligned with organizational goals and societal values, documented in a manner accessible to all stakeholders.

EVALUATED

**3/4**

evidence requirements

AVERAGE SCORE

**2.0**

out of 3.0

CONFORMITY

Partly Compliant

DISTRIBUTION

Score 3: 1

Score 2: 1

Score 1: 1

### Evidence Requirements (4)

**D1.1.1** Documentation demonstrating that the AI system's objectives are clearly defined, including scope, intended outcomes, and constraints.

**3 Fully Satisfactory**

The safety policy comprehensively documents the system's objectives including scope, intended outcomes, and operational constraints. Section 2.1 provides a clear mission statement while Section 3 details specific safety objectives with measurable criteria.

Excerpts: The Acme AV system shall operate within defined operational domains with the primary objective of safe passenger transport.

**D1.1.2** Evidence that objectives have been reviewed and approved by relevant stakeholders including technical, ethical, and domain experts.

**2 Substantial**

The policy references a review process involving the safety board and engineering leads, but there is no explicit mention of ethical review or domain experts outside of engineering and safety disciplines.

Gaps: No evidence of ethical expert review; Domain experts beyond engineering not documented

Excerpts: All safety objectives reviewed quarterly by the Safety Review Board (SRB) comprising CTO, VP Safety, and Lead Engineers.

**D1.1.3** Records showing that objectives are periodically reviewed and updated to reflect changing organizational needs and societal expectations.

**1 Partial**

While quarterly reviews are mentioned, there is no documented process for updating objectives in response to societal changes or evolving regulations. The review process appears internally focused.

Gaps: No societal impact assessment process; No regulatory change monitoring; Review criteria not documented

**D1.1.4** Evidence that conflicting objectives are identified, documented, and resolved through a structured process.

Not yet evaluated

Privacy: No data was stored on SaferAgenticAI.org servers during this assessment. All document processing occurred locally in the browser. Evidence text was sent directly to the Anthropic API using the assessor's own API key.